



COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITIES OF PUNICA GRANATUM LEAVES AND ACACIA LEUCOPHLOEA ROXB. LEAVES

Brijesh Sharma^{1*}, Lal Ratnakar Singh², Geetika Gupta³, Deen Dayal Singh⁴,
Ashok Kumar⁵

¹Associate Prof., Dept. of Pharmacy, IBMER, Mangalayatan University, Beswan,
Aligarh, U.P.-202146, India.

²Principal, Dept. of Pharmacy, IBMER, Mangalayatan University, Beswan, Aligarh,
U.P. -202146, India.

³Senior Research Fellow, Animal Health Division, Makhdoom, Farah, Mathura.

⁴Senior Research Fellow, Animal Health Division, Makhdoom, Farah, Mathura.

⁵Principal Scientist, Animal Health Division, Makhdoom, Farah, Mathura.

Article Received on
21 March 2018,

Revised on 12 April 2018,
Accepted on 02 May 2018

DOI: 10.20959/wjpps20186-11684

*Corresponding Author

Brijesh Sharma

Associate Prof., Dept. of
Pharmacy, IBMER,
Mangalayatan University,
Beswan, Aligarh, U.P.-
202146, India.

ABSTRACT

In the present investigation, the aqueous and methanolic leaf extracts of Punica granatum L. and Acacia leucophloea Roxb. were subjected to phytochemical screening using various qualitative tests. Their physical characteristics and antibacterial activity against Escherichia coli, Streptococcus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, was evaluated visually and by disc diffusion method, respectively. A comparison was made between antibacterial activity of both the above plants. The results revealed the presence of Phenols, tannins, alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins, glycosides, terpenoids, carbohydrates, proteins and lipids in P. granatum leaves and A. leucophloea leaves consisted of steroids in addition to the above constituents. The results further showed that both

the extracts were effective against all the tested bacteria and antibacterial activity increased with an increase in concentration of extract. The maximum zone of inhibition (mm.) against E. coli, P. aeruginosa and Streptococcus were detected to be 20, 14, 20 and 13, 18, 23 for methanolic and aqueous leaf extracts of P. granatum, respectively. Similarly, the maximum zone of inhibition (mm.) against E. coli, P. aeruginosa and Streptococcus were found to be 13, 16, 17 and 17, 12, 22 for methanolic and aqueous leaf extracts of A. leucophloea,

respectively. Nature of solvent considerably affected their antibacterial activity. Overall, *P. granatum* was found to have greater antibacterial activity against all the tested bacteria. The results of study suggested that the leaf of both above plants can be used as effective antibacterial agents for treating bacterial infections, supported their use as Ayurvedic phytomedicine and their combination in the form of some suitable dosage forms for topical application could yield better results in case of skin infections.

KEYWORDS: Phytochemical, antibacterial, phytomedicine, zone of inhibition.

INTRODUCTION

The excessive use of commercially available antimicrobials caused the emergence of antimicrobial resistant pathogens that ultimately posed a threat to global public health (Khan and Hane, 2011). Since developing and testing new antimicrobial drug is expensive and all antibiotics with prolonged usage may have adverse effects on human health because they kill gut flora. Therefore, attention has now-a-days diverted towards natural products for treating diseases. The Indian subcontinent has a rich flora of various plants used in traditional medical treatments (Ballabh, and Chaurasia 2007). Plant extracts and compounds derived from plants are in use as drug from the ancient times (Kamal and Khan 2014). *Acacia leucophloea* Roxb also called *reonja*, is a moderate sized tree and it attains a height of about 20 to 30 ft and a girth of 2 to 3 ft (Kaul, 1963), belongs to the family *Fabaceae* under the subfamily Mimosoideae. Its largest continuous distribution is arid India through Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, Burma and much of Thailand (Nielsen, 1992). The chemical constituents found are n-Hexacosanol, beta- Amyrin, beta-Sitosterol and Tannin. Traditionally the bark is used as astringent, bitter, thermogenic, styptic, alexeteric, antihelmintic, vulnerary, demulcent, constipating, expectorant and antipyretic, vulnerary, demulcent, constipating, bronchitis, cough, vomiting, wounds, ulcers, diarrhoea, dysentery, internal and external haemorrhages, dental caries, oral ulcers, proctoptosis, stomatitis and intermittent fevers (Bhadoria and Gupta 1981, Gupta *et al.* 2012). *Punica granatum* L., commonly known as pomegranate, is a fruit bearing deciduous shrub or small tree, native to Asia and belongs to family Lathraceae (Altuner, 2011). This plant is reported to have excellent antibacterial, antifungal, antiprotozoal and antioxidant properties (Dahham *et al.* 2010, Inabo *et al.* 2011, Moussa *et al.* 2011). It has been highlighted in many studies as having antimicrobial activity against a range of both Gram positive and negative bacteria (Navarro *et al.* 1996). Chemical constituents of the leaf extract of *P. granatum* are almost similar to those of the fruit or seed,

e.g., ellagic acid, tannins (punicalin and punicafolin), and flavones glycosides including luteol in and apigenin (Bisht *et al.* 2016). The present investigation was undertaken with the objective of comparing antibacterial activity in methanolic and aq. leaf extracts of *A. leucophloea* and *P. granatum* which in turn could help in the development of some suitable topical formulation for treating various dermatological disorders.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials: All the reagents, viz. beef extract, acid hydrolysate of casein, starch and agar used in the present study were of analytical grade and procured from Hi Media laboratory.

METHODS

Collection and Authentication of Plant Material

Fresh leaves of *P. granatum* and *A. leucophloea* were collected from the herbal garden maintained at C.I.R.G. Makhdoom, Farah, Mathura, U.P. They were authenticated and identified by Dr. Sanjay Kataria, Head of Dept., Botany, BSA degree college, Mathura.

Processing of raw plant material

Plant material after collection was shade dried. Dried plant material was coarsely grinded with the electric grinder and stored in air tight containers till further use.

Preparation of Crude Extracts

The shade dried powder of leaves was subjected to soxhlet extraction using water and methanol as solvents. The extracts were filtered individually using Whatmann filter paper no.

1, evaporated to dryness in rotary evaporator and the percent yields of both the extracts were determined. They were stored at -20 °C (Kasliwal and Quadri, 2016).

Determination of extraction yield: The yield (% , w/w) from the above dried extracts were calculated by given below formula:

$$\text{Yield (\%)} = (W_1 \times 100) / W_2$$

Where, W_1 is the weight of the extract obtained after drying of solvent and W_2 is the weight of the plant powder.

Evaluation of Characteristics of Extracts

The physical state, color, odor, taste and percent yield of the two leaves extracts were determined.

Antimicrobial Screening of Extracts By In Vitro Method

Microbial Strains

All the strains of microorganisms were obtained from C.I.R.G., Makhdoom, Farh, Mathura.

Details of bacteria used for study are given in table 1.

Table 1: Details of Bacteria Used for Study.

S. No.	Name of bacteria	Strain
1.	Escherichia coli	Gram-negative bacteria
2.	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	Gram-negative bacteria
3.	<i>Streptococci</i>	Gram-positive bacteria

Evaluation of Antibacterial Activity by Disc Diffusion Method (Kumar *et al.* 2015):

Muller Hinton Agar (MH Agar) media was used for the investigation. The composition of media is given below:

Beef extract-	:	2.00 gm.
Acid hydrolysate of casein-	:	17.50 gm.
Starch-	:	1.5 gm.
Agar –	:	17.00 gm.
Final pH-	:	7.3 ± 0.2
Distilled water-	:	1000 ml

The prepared media was stored below 8 °C, protected from direct sunlight.

Initially, the stock cultures of bacteria were revived by inoculating in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) broth media and grown at 37 °C for 24 hrs. The plates of MH media were prepared. The 24 h old bacterial cultures (100µ, 104 CFU) were spread evenly on the plates. Extracts of plants to be tested were applied on sterile discs in specific concentration. The extracts were left for drying at room temperature for 20 minutes. After drying, the extracts along with discs were applied on MH media plates. All the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h and the diameter of Zone of Inhibition (ZOI) in mm. were noted (Kumar *et al.* 2013).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Preparation of Extracts

The leaves extracts of the plants under study, namely *Punica granatum* and *Acacia leucophloea* Roxb. were successfully prepared by soxhelt apparatus (hot method) followed by evaporation of solvent in rotary evaporator.

Extraction of Extracts

Characteristics of methanolic extracts of *Punica granatum* and *Acacia leucophloea* Roxb. Leaves

The physical state, color, odor, taste and percent yield of the two leaves extracts are given in table 2 and 3. Various reports are published on physical characteristics of crude extracts (Charde *et al.*2014). The percentage yield in the present investigation is almost similar to that of crude extract of *C. carandus* (29.54 %) by Sharma, 2005.

Table 2: Characteristics of Methanolic Leaves Extracts.

S. No.	Characteristics	Observations	
		<i>Punica granatum</i> L.	<i>Ac Acacia leucophloea</i> Roxb.
1.	Physical state	Solid	Semi-solid
2.	Color	Dark green	Brownish-black
3.	Odor	Characteristic	Pungent
4.	Taste	Bitter	Bitter
5.	Percent yield	29.26	28.57

Table 3: Characteristics of aq. Leaves Extracts

S. No.	Characteristics	Observations	
		<i>Punica granatum</i> L.	<i>Ac Acacia leucophloea</i> Roxb.
1.	Physical state	Solid	Semi-solid
2.	Color	Dark green	Brownish-black
3.	Odor	Characteristic	Pungent
4.	Taste	Bitter	Bitter
5.	Percent yield	28.67	27.85

Phytochemical screening of *Punica granatum* and *Acacia leucophloea* Roxb. Leaves extracts

The results of phytochemical analysis of the methanolic and aqueous leaves extracts of *Punica granatum* L. and *Acacia leucophloea* Roxb. are presented in table 4. Phenols, tannins, alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins, glycosides, terpenoids, carbohydrates, proteins and lipids were found to be present in *P. granatum* extract while *A. leucophloea* extract contained steroids also in addition to the above constituents. The results of phytochemical analysis are

similar to the previous published reports (Kumar *et al.* 2015, Prabha *et al.* 2015, Suneetha *et al.* 2016).

Table 4: Phytochemical screening of *P. granatum* L. and *A. leucophloea* Roxb. Leaves extracts.

S. No.	Test for Phytoconstituents	Leaves extracts			
		Punica granatum		Acacia leucophloea Roxb.	
		Aqueous	Methanolic	Aq Aqueous	M Methanolic
1.	Phenols	+	-	+	-
2.	Tannins	+	+	+	+
3.	Steroids	-	-	+	+
4.	Alkaloids	+	+	+	+
5.	Glycosides	+	+	+	+
6.	Flavonoids	+	+	+	+
7.	Saponins	+	+	+	+
8.	Terpenoids	+	+	+	+
9.	Carbohydrates	+	+	+	+
10.	Proteins	+	+	+	-
11.	Lipids	+	+	+	+

(+ indicates the Presence of Phytocompound; – Indicates the absence of phytocompound).

In Vitro Testing of Extracts for Antibacterial Activity

Antibacterial activity of both extracts was determined by disc diffusion method. Zone of inhibitions (ZOI) were measured in mm. Both the methanolic and aq. extracts under study (*Punica granatum* and *Acacia leucophloea* Roxb.) leaves exhibited significant antibacterial activity against all the tested bacteria, namely *E. coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Streptococci*. The results of antibacterial activity are shown in table 5 and 6. From the above table, it is clear that the antibacterial activity of both the extracts were concentration dependent, i.e., as the concentration increased, antibacterial activity also increased. The maximum zone of inhibition (mm.) against *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa* and *Streptococci* were detected to be 20, 14, 20 and 13, 18, 23 for methanolic and aqueous leaf extracts of *P. granatum*, respectively. Similarly, the maximum zone of inhibition (mm.) against *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa* and *Streptococci* were found to be 13, 16, 17 and 17, 12, 22 for methanolic and aqueous leaf extracts of *A. leucophloea*, respectively. Furthermore, table 5 shows that the methanolic extract of *P. granatum* had more antibacterial activity or greater ZOI than *A. leucophloea* Roxb. against *E. coli* while aq. extract of *A. leucophloea* Roxb. was found to have greater antibacterial activity than aq. extract of *P. granatum* against *E. coli*. The table 5

also revealed that methanolic extract of *A. leucophloea* was having greater antibacterial activity than methanolic extract of *P. granatum* against *P. aeruginosa*. Conversely, aq. extract of *P. granatum* leaves was found to be more effective antibacterial agent than aq. extract of *A. leucophloea* leaves (table 6). Thus, the nature of solvent considerably affected the antibacterial activity of extracts. Hegde *et al.*(2012) also reported similar ZOI of methanolic leaf extract against *E. coli* (13 mm.) and slightly less (8 mm.) than our ZOI (10 mm.) of aq. leaf extract. However, Rathinamoorthy *et al.*(2011) detected higher antibacterial activity of methanolic and aq. leaf extract of *P. granatum* against *E. coli*. This could be due to the variation in concentration of extract used. Tannins, alkaloids, saponins and flavonoids have been found to be active antibacterial agents (Kennedy and Withtman, 2011). Similar to our results, Dabur *et al.* (2007) has also reported low antibacterial activity of *A. leucophloea* bark. Rahman *et al.* (2014) and Lawrence *et al.* (2015) have reported antibacterial activity of *A. nilotica* and *A. Arabica* bark, respectively against *E. coli*, *Streptococcus*, *P. aeruginosa*. Similar to our results, Kumar *et al.* (2015) also reported concentration-dependent increase in ZOI of *P. granatum* leaf against *Streptococcus*. Overall, *P. granatum* was found to have greater antibacterial activity against all the tested bacteria.

Table 5: Antibacterial Activity of Methanolic (MeOH) Leaf Extracts of *P. granatum* and *A. Leucophloea*.

Bacteria	Plant Extract (Zone of inhibition in mm.)							
	P. granatum (MeOH)				A. leucophloea (MeOH)			
Concentration (mg. / ml.)	500	250	125	62.5	500	250	125	62.5
<i>E. coli</i>	20	20	15	13	13	12	10	10
<i>Streptococcus</i>	20	15	11	10	17	15	10	10
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	14	13	12	11	16	14	13	10

Table 6: Antibacterial Activity of Aqueous (Aq.) Leaf Extracts of *P. granatum* and *A. Leucophloea*.

Bacteria	Plant Extract (Zone of inhibition in mm.)							
	P. granatum (Aq.)				A. leucophloea (Aq.)			
Concentration (mg. / ml.)	500	250	125	62.5	500	250	125	62.5
<i>E. coli</i>	13	11	10	10	17	15	13	10
<i>Streptococcus</i>	23	21	16	12	22	16	13	11
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	18	15	14	13	12	11	10	10



Methanolic Leaf Extract



Aqueous Leaf Extract

Figure 1: Antibacterial Activity of *A. leucophloea* Extracts Against Streptococci.




Methanolic Leaf Extract




Aqueous Leaf Extract

Figure 2: Antibacterial Activity of *P. granatum* Extracts Against Streptococci.



Methanolic Leaf Extract




Aqueous Leaf Extract

Figure 3: Antibacterial Activity of *A. leucophloea* Extracts Against *E. coli*.




Methanolic Leaf Extract




Aqueous Leaf Extract

Figure 4: Antibacterial Activity of *P. granatum* Extracts Against *E. coli*.



Methanolic Leaf Extract



Aqueous Leaf Extract

Figure 5: Antibacterial Activity of *A. leucophloea* Extracts Against *P. aeruginosa*.



Methanolic Leaf Extract



Aqueous Leaf Extract

Figure 6: Antibacterial Activity of *P. granatum* Extracts Against *P. aeruginosa*.

CONCLUSION

The present investigation was conducted with the purpose of comparing antibacterial activity in the methanolic and aqueous leaf extracts of *Punica granatum* L. and *Acacia leucophloea* Roxb. against *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *Streptococci*. The results indicated that both the extracts had remarkable antibacterial activity against all the tested bacteria but overall *P. granatum* was found to have higher zone of inhibition. Furthermore, the nature of solvent affected the antibacterial activity of extracts to a great extent. For some strains, methanol was better solvent while for others water was proved to be more effective solvent for determination of antibacterial activity. Furthermore, antibacterial activity was concentration-dependent, i.e. as concentration increases, activity also increased. Thus, it can be inferred from the results that a judicious combination of above crude drugs in appropriate ratio could be used for the development of suitable topical formulation like antimicrobial gel, cream etc. which in turn could have applications in a variety of dermatological disorders.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Dr. Lal Ratnakar Singh, principal, Pharmacy, Mangalayatan university, Aligarh (U.P.) for his valuable suggestions in research. The author is also thankful to Dr. Ashok Kumar, principal scientist, C.I.R.G., Makhdoom, Farah, Mathura for providing bacterial strains for the present study, Mr. Deen Dayal Singh and Ms. Geetika Gupta (SRF, C.I.R.G., Makhdoom, Farah, Mathura) for their kind help in research.

REFERENCES

1. Altuner EM. Investigation of antimicrobial activity of *Punica granatum* L. fruit peel ash used for protection against skin infections as folk remedies especially after male circumcision. *African Journal of Microbial Research*, 2011; 5(20): 3339 – 42.
2. Bhadoria BK, Gupta RK. A note on hydrocyanic acid content in *Acacia leucophloea* Roxb Willd. *Current Science*, 1981; 50: 689-90.
3. Ballabh B, Chaurasia O P. Traditional medicinal plants of cold desert Ladakh – used in treatment of cold, cough and fever. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 2007; 112(2): 341 – 49.
4. Bisht R, Chanyal S, Agrawal PK. Antimicrobial And Phytochemical Analysis Of Leaf Extract Of Medicinal Fruit Plants. *Asian J Pharm Clin Res*, 2016; 9(4): 131-36.

5. Hegde CR, Madhuri M, Nishitha ST, Das A, Bhattacharya S and Rohit KC. Evaluation of Antimicrobial Properties, Phytochemical Contents and Antioxidant Capacities of Leaf Extracts of *Punica granatum* L. *ISCA J Biological Sci*, 2012; 1(2): 32-37.
6. Charde YM, Sharma PH, Choudhary NG, Avari JG. Development and Evaluation of Herbal Formulation for The Treatment of Acne. *IJPSR*, 2014; 5(6): 2250-60.
7. Dabur R, Gupta A, Mandal T K, Singh DD, Bajpai V, Gurav AM and Lavekar GS. Antimicrobial Activity of Some Indian Medicinal Plants. *Afr J Trad. CAM*, 2007; 4(3): 313 – 18.
8. Dahham SS, Ali MN, Tabassum H and Khan M. Studies on antibacterial and antifungal activity of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.), *American-Eurasian J. Agric and Environ Sci*, 2010; 9(3): 273-81
9. Gupta R, Kumar A, Aiswarya G and Singh J. Antipyretic Activity Of Methanol Extract Of *Acacia leucophloea* Roxb Bark. *IJPAES*, 2012; 2(1): 138-40.
10. Inabo HI and Fathuddin MM. In vivo antitrypanosomal potentials of ethyl acetate leaf extracts
11. of *Punica granatum* against *Trypanosoma brucei brucei*. *Adv Agr Bio*, 2011; (1): 82-88.
12. Kamal A and Khan MM R. Phytochemical evaluation of some medicinal plants. *Indian Journal of Plant Sciences*, 2014; 3(4): 5 – 8.
13. Kasliwal A, Quadri F. Evaluation of antibacterial activity of *Punica granatum*. *Jornal of Global Biosciences*, 2016; 5(1): 3534-38.
14. Kaul RN. Need for afforestation in the arid zone of India. 13. India: Layaaran; 1963.
15. Kennedy DO and Withtman EL. Herbal extracts and phytochemicals: plant secondary metabolites and enhancements of human brain function. *Adv. Nutri*, 2011; (2): 32 – 50.
16. Khan JA and Hanee S. Antibacterial Properties of *Punica Granatum* Peels. *International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology*, 2011; 2(3): 23-27.
17. Kumar M, Dandapat S, Kumar A and Sinha MP. Anti-typhoid activity of *Adhatoda vasica* and *Vitex negundo*. *Persian Gulf Crop Protection.*, 2013; 2(3): 64 – 75.
18. Kumar M, Dandapat S, Sinha MP. Phytochemical screening and antibacterial activity of aqueous leaf extract of *Punica granatum*. *Balneo Research Journal*, 2015; 6(3).
19. Lawrence R, Jeyakumar E, Gupta A. Antibacterial Activity of *Acacia arabica*(Bark) Extract against selected Multi Drug Resistant Pathogenic Bacteria. *Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci* 2015; Special Issue-1: 213-22.

20. Moussa AM, Emam AM, Diab YM, Mahmoud ME and Mahmoud AS. Evaluation of antioxidant potential of 124 Egyptian plants with emphasis on the action of *Punica granatum* leaf extract on rats, IFRJ, 2011; (18): 535-42.
21. Navarro V, Villarreal ML, Rojas G, Lozoya X. Antimicrobial evaluation of some plants used in Mexican traditional medicine for the treatment of infectious diseases. J Ethnopharmacol, 1996; (53): 143-47.
22. Nielsen IC. Flora Malesiana: Mimosaceae.1. France: Kluwer, 1992.
23. Prabha MS, Aruna MS, Gulshan MD, Prasanna JL, Rao AR. Preliminary and Pharmacognostic investigation on leaves of *Punica granatum*. Int Res J Pharm, 2013; 4(12): 30-35.
24. Rahman AU, Shakoor A, Zaib G, Mumtaz AS, Ihtesham Y and Napar AA: Comparative antimicrobial activity of *Acacia nilotica* L. leaves extracts against pathogenic bacteria and fungi. J Medicinal Plant Research, 2014; 8(29): 975-82.
25. Rathinamoorthy R, Udayakumar S and Thilagavathi G. Antibacterial efficacy analysis of *Punica granatum* L. leaf, rind and *Terminalia chebula* fruit extract treated cotton fabric against five most common human pathogenic bacteria. IJPLS, 2011; 2(10): 1147-53.
26. Sharma B. Free radical scavenging activity and phytochemistry of *Citrus medica* and *Carissa carandus* leaves. Dissertaton thesis submitted to IIMT Medical College, Agra (U.P.), 2005; 32.
27. Suneetha T B, Charles A, Ashritha M, Raviswamy GH Math. Chemical Profiling and Antibacterial Activity of *Punica Granatum* L against Pathogens Causing Bovine Mastitis. Proceedings of Control Instrumentation System Conference, CISCON, 2016.