WORLD JOURNAL OF PHARMACY AND PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES
SJIF Impact Factor 6.647
Volume 7, Issue 01, 553-558 Research Article ISSN 2278 – 4357

EFFECT OF RESISTANCE TRAINING ON INSULIN AMONG VOLLEYBALL PLAYERS

***Dr. K. Umarani and **Dr. P. V. Shelvam**

*Director of Physical Education, Sri Ramakrishna Engineering College, Vattamalaipalayam, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India.

**Professor, Dept. of Physical Education & Sports Sciences, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Tamilnadu, India 608002.

Article Received on
22 October 2017,
Revised on 13 Nov. 2017,
Accepted on 03 Dec. 2017
DOI: 10.20959/wjpps201801-10441

***Corresponding Author**
Dr. K. Umarani
Director of Physical Education, Sri Ramakrishna Engineering College, Vattamalaipalayam, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India.

ABSTRACT

To achieve this purpose thirty (N = 30) female volleyball players were randomly selected from Sri Ramakrishna Engineering College, Vattamalaipalayam, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India. The selected subjects were aged between 18 to 22 years. They were divided into two equal groups of fifteen each, Group I underwent resistance training and Group II acted as control that did not participate in any special training apart from their regular curricular activities. The experimental group underwent twelve weeks for 3 days per week training. The selected criterion variable insulin to assess Immunoenzymometric Assay method was used. Pre-test data were collected before the training program and post-test data were collected after the training program. The analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to find out the significant differences if any, between the experimental group and control group on selected criterion variable. In all the cases, 0.05 level of confidence was fixed to test the significance, which was considered as an appropriate. The result of the present study has revealed that there was a positively improves the secretion of insulin due to the resistance training.

KEYWORDS: Resistance training, volleyball players, insulin.

INTRODUCTION

Health related physical fitness of a patient is dependent on both lifestyle related factors such as daily physical activity levels, nutritional habits and genetic factors and is an important indicator of health status (Takken, 2003). The primary objective of sports training is to stress

various bodily systems to bring about positive adaptation in order to enhance sporting performance. To achieve this objective, coaches and athletes systematically apply a number of training principles including overload, specificity and progression, organized through what is commonly termed periodisation. The application of these principles involves the manipulation of various programme design variables including choice of exercise, order of training activities/exercises, training intensity (load and repetition), rest periods between sets and activities/exercises and training frequency and volume in order to provide periods of stimulus and recovery, with the successful balance of these factors resulting in positive adaptation (Starks, 2013). Resistance training is any exercise that causes the muscles to contract against an external resistance with the expectation of increases in strength, tone, mass, and/or endurance. Resistance training should be an integral part of an adult fitness program and of a sufficient intensity to enhance strength, muscular endurance and maintain fat-free mass (FFM). Resistance training should be progressive in nature, individualized and provide a stimulus to all the major muscle groups. "adding strength training to a program of regular physical activity will help to decrease the risk of 'chronic diseases' while improving quality of life and functionality, allowing people of all ages to improve and maintain their health and independent life style. (Pollock and Vincent, 1996). Protein kinase B/Akt can both activate protein synthesis and decrease protein breakdown, thus leading to hypertrophy and AMP-activated protein kinase can increase mitochondrial protein, glucose transport, and a number of other factors that result in an endurance phenotype. Not only are PKB and AMPK central to the generation of the resistance and endurance phenotypes, they also block each other's downstream signaling. The consequence of these interactions is a direct molecular blockade hindering the development of the concurrent training phenotype. A better understanding of the activation of these molecular pathways after exercise and how they interact will allow development of better training programs to maximize both strength and endurance (Baar, 2006). The training program for the elders help to improve their health and decrease the risks brought about by the age. They can be more independent, without needing to rely on other people for doing simple things. Being able to do so will also decrease the risk of injuries in the elders. Regular training can result in a lowered heart rate and lowered blood pressure, especially after exercise. Thus, the risk of heart diseases is reduced. This kind of training however must be properly done. It requires commitment and consistency. It will have to be done in a regular basis. This is the real challenge when it comes to exercise and improving one's health. It's not hard to exercise for 30 minutes, but its difficult to consistently do this 5 days a week for 3 or 4 months (Parker, 2006). The predominant

hormonal control system is the negative feedback mechanism. In this mechanism, the secretion of the hormone is turned off or decreased due to the end result of the response caused by that hormone. The nervous system is also involved in the control of hormone secretion. Insulin causes an increase in cellular uptake of glucose resulting in a lowered blood glucose level. In addition to this function, insulin also inhibits glucose release from the liver and free fatty acid release from adipose tissue. Glucagon on the other hand, cause just the opposite effects, i.e., glucose mobilization from the adiposities. During exercise, in which both glucose and free fatty acids are needed as metabolic fuels, glucagon has been shown to increase and insulin to decrease (Fox and Mathews, 1985).

METHODOLOGY

To achieve this purpose thirty (N = 30) female volleyball players were randomly selected from Sri Ramakrishna Engineering College, Vattamalaipalayam, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India. The selected subjects were aged between 18 to 22 years. They were divided into two equal groups of fifteen each, Group I underwent resistance training and Group II acted as control that did not participate in any special training apart from their regular curricular activities. The experimental group underwent twelve weeks for 3 days per week training. The selected criterion variable insulin to assess Immunoenzymometric Assay method was used. Pre-test data were collected before the training program and post-test data were collected after the training program. The analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to find out the significant differences if any, between the experimental group and control group on selected criterion variable. In all the cases, 0.05 level of confidence was fixed to test the significance, which was considered as an appropriate.

Training Program

The intensity variations in 12 weeks training for experimental groups are given in Table - I.

Table-I

Weeks	1&2	3&4	5&6	7&8	9&10	11&12
% of intensity	70	74	78	82	86	90

RESULTS

Findings: The statistical analysis comparing the initial and final means of insulin due to resistance training have been presented in Table II.

Table II: Computation of Analysis of Covariance on Insulin.

Test	Resistance Training Group	Control Group	F Ratio
PRE TEST	5.78	5.62	1.54
POST TEST	6.92	5.68	5.48*
AD POST TEST	6.89	5.67	17.36*

Table II shows the analyzed data of insulin. The insulin pre means were 5.78 for the resistance training group and 5.62 for the control group. The resultant 'F' ratio of 1.54 was not significant at .05 levels indicating that the two groups were no significant variation. The post test means were 6.92 for the resistance training group and 5.68 for the control group. The resultant 'F' ratio of 5.48 at .05 level indicating that was a significant difference. The difference between the adjusted post-test means of 6.89 for the resistance training group and 5.67 for the control group yield on 'F' ratio 17.36 which was significant at.05 level. The results of the study indicate that there is a significant difference among resistance training and control groups on the insulin.

DISCUSSION ON FINDINGS

Systematically performed physical exercise result in greater changes in the organism. The changes take place on the level of cellular structures, tissues, organs and body build, including levels of cellular auto regulation, hormonal regulation and neural regulation. Most of the training induced changes express adaptation to the conditions of enhanced muscular activity. The top level performance depends on effective training as well as on genetic peculiarities. Therefore, the tasks of training and of sport selection have to be discriminated, but it must be emphasized that there are no genetically induced factor that directly determine the level of sports results in any event. Insulin causes an increase in cellular uptake of glucose resulting in a lowered blood glucose level. In addition to this function, insulin also inhibits glucose release from the liver and free fatty acid release from adipose tissue. Glucagon, on the other hand, causes just the opposite effects, i.e., glucose mobilization from liver and free fatty acid mobilization from the adiposities (fat cells). During exercise, in which both glucose and free fatty acids are needed as metabolic fuels, glycogen has been shown to increase and insulin to decrease. Insulin resistance increases with weight gain and conversely, diminishes with weight gain and conversely, diminishes with weight loss. This suggests that fat accumulation is important in the development of insulin resistance. Adipose tissue is not simply an energy storage organ, but also a secretary organ. Regular substances produced by adiposities include lepton, resisting all of which may contribute to the development of insulin resistance. In

addition, the elevated levels of free fatty acids that occur in obesity have also been implicated in the development of insulin resistance. The effects of insulin on glucose metabolism are most prominent in three tissues; liver, muscle and adipose. In the liver, insulin decreases the production of glucose by inhibiting gluconeogenesis and the breakdown of glycogen. In the muscle and liver, insulin increases glycogen synthesis. In the muscle and adipose tissue insulin increases glucose uptake by increasing the number of glucose transporters in the cell membrane. The intravenous administration of insulin thus causes an glucose **Ahmadized *et al.* (2007), Hulver *et al.* (2002)** revealed, aerobic exercise enhance the insulin sensitivity. **Brooks *et al.* (2007)** reported, strength training improves muscle quality and whole-body insulin sensitivity. **Bluher *et al.* (2007)** reported physical exercise improve the insulin resistance response. **Klimcakova *et al.* (2006)** concluded dynamic training resulted in an improvement of whole-body insulin sensitivity. **Marcell *et al.* (2005)** reported, moderate intensity of resistance training improves insulin sensitivity. **Nassis *et al.* (2005)** concluded, 12 weeks of aerobic training improves insulin sensitivity on overweight and obese girls.

REFERENCES

1. Takken, T., et al., "Physical Activity and Health Related Physical Fitness in Children with Juvenile Idopathic Arthritis". The European League Against Rheumatism Journal, 2003; 62: 885 and 885-889.
2. Starks, Joe (2013). "An Athlete's Guide to Jumping Higher: Vertical Jump Secrets Uncovered!". Athlete Culture. Retrieved 30 April 2013.
3. Pollock ML and Vincent KR, "A Resistance Training for Health, The Presedent's Council on Physical Fitness and Sports Research Digest, December, Series, 1996; 2: 8.
4. Baar, K "Training for Endurance and Strength: lessons from Cell Signaling", *Med Sci Sports Exerc*., 2006; 38(11): 1939-44.
5. Parker, Alton (2006), The Benefits of Resistance Training, www.google.co.in.
6. Fox, Edward L and Mathews, Donald K. (1985), The Physiological Basis of Physical Education and Athletics, Sydney: W.B. Saunders Company.
7. Clarke, H. Harrison and Clarke, David H., Advanced Statistics, New Jersey: Prentice Hall Inc., 1972.
8. Ahmadizad, Sajad et al., "Effects of Resistance Versus Endurance Training on Serum Adiponectin and Insulin Resistance Index", European Journal of Endocrinology, 2007; 157(5): 625-631.

9. Hulver, Matthew W. et al., “Adiponectin is not Altered with Exercise Training Despite Enhanced Insulin Action”, Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab., 2002; 283(4): E861-E865.
10. Brooks, Naomi et al., “Strength Training Improves Muscle Quality and Insulin Sensitivity in Hispanic Older Adults with Type 2 Diabetes”, International Journal of Medical Sciences, 2007; 4(1): 19-27.
11. Bluher, Matthias et al., “Gene Expression of Adiponectic Receptors in Human Visceral and Subcutaneous Adipose Tissue is Related to Insulin Resistance and Metabolic Parameters and is Altered in Response to Physical Training”, Diabetes Care, 2007; 30: 3110-3115.
12. Klimcakova, E. et al., “Dynamic Strength Training Improves Insulin Sensitivity without Altering Plasma Levels and Gene Expression of Adipokines in Subcutaneous Adipose Tissue in Obese Men”, The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, 2006; 91(12): 5107-5112.
13. Marcell, T. et al., “Exercise Training is not Associated with Improved Levels of C-Reactive Protein or Adiponectin”, Metabolism, 2005; 54(4): 533-541.
14. Nassis, G. et al. “Aerobic Exercise Training Improves Insulin Sensitivity without Changes in Body Weight, Body Fat, Adiponectin, and Inflammatory Markers in Overweight and Obese Girls”, Metabolism, 2005; 54(11): 1472-1479.