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ABSTRACT

The present article contains a brief review of various formulation approaches used in floating drug delivery systems. Recent technological and scientific research has been devoted to the development of rate controlled drug delivery systems to overcome physiological adversities such as short gastric residence times and unpredictable gastric emptying times. Differences in gastric physiology such as gastric pH and motility exhibit both intra and inter subject variability demonstrating significant impact on gastric residence time and drug delivery behavior. This triggered an increased interest towards formulation of novel delivery systems which retained in the stomach for prolonged and predictable period of time. Several approaches such as floating drug delivery systems (FDDS), swelling and expanding systems, bioadhesive systems, modified shape systems, high density systems or other delayed gastric emptying devices have been discovered till now. FDDS are of particular interest for drugs that are locally active and have narrow absorption window in stomach or upper small intestine, unstable in the intestinal or colonic environment, and exhibit low solubility at high pH values. This review article is in pursuit of giving detailed information on the pharmaceutical basis of their design, classification, advantages and the future potential of FDDS.
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INTRODUCTION

The oral route is increasingly being used for the delivery of therapeutic agents because the low cost of the therapy and ease of administration lead to high levels of patient compliance. More than 50% of the drug delivery systems available in the market are oral drug delivery systems.[1] Controlled-release drug delivery systems provide drug release at a predetermined, predictable and controlled rate. Controlled-release drug delivery system is capable of achieving the benefits like maintenance of optimum therapeutic drug concentration in blood with predictable and reproducible release rates for extended time period; enhancement of activity of duration for short half-life drugs; elimination of side effects; reducing frequency of dosing and wastage of drugs; optimized therapy and better patient compliances.[2, 3] Research on oral drug delivery with either further development in the delivery system or novelty in the drug formulation is ongoing work for many formulation scientists.[4] The most prominent requirements for a drug delivery system to make it novel are, first to deliver a drug at a controlled rate and second to pass the active entity to the target site for action. Formulation scientists have been used many possible approaches to achieve this challenging novelty in oral drug formulation, either by unifying drug distribution into a carrier system, or by controlling drug release in the blood to reach the designed plasma drug concentration-time profile.[5,6] Controlled release drug delivery systems can offer temporal and/or locative control over the release of drugs. Thus, the oral controlled release drug delivery system is the most widely used system for controlling the release of drugs given orally.[7] Many advantages for this system were reported, such as preventing plasma drug level fluctuations, reducing dosing frequency of drug administration, enhancing drug bioavailability, improving patient compliance and minimizing side effects and toxicity of drugs.[8]

Gastrointestinal retention

Gastro retentive systems can remain in the gastric region for several hours and hence significantly prolong the gastric residence time of drugs. Prolonged gastric retention improves bioavailability, reduces drug waste and improves solubility for drugs that are less soluble in a high pH environment. It has applications also for local drug delivery to the stomach and proximal small intestines. Gastro retention helps to provide better availability of new products with new therapeutic possibilities and substantial benefits for patients. To successfully modulate the gastrointestinal transit time of a drug delivery system through floating drug delivery system (FDDS) For maximal gastrointestinal absorption of drugs and
site-specific delivery, one needs to have a good fundamental understanding of the anatomic and physiological characteristics of the human GIT.

**VARIOUS FACTORS AFFECTING GASTRIC RESIDENCE TIME OF FDDS**

a) **Formulation factors**

**Size of tablets**
Retention of floating dosage forms in stomach depends on the size of tablets. Small tablets are emptied from the stomach during the digestive phase, but large ones are expelled during the house keeping waves.\(^9\) Floating and nonfloating capsules of 3 different sizes having a diameter of 4.8 mm (small units), 7.5 mm (medium units) and 9.9 mm (large units), were formulated and analyzed for their different properties. It was found that floating dosage units remained buoyant regardless of their sizes on the gastric contents throughout their residence in the gastrointestinal tract, while the nonfloating dosage units sank and remained in the lower part of the stomach. Floating units away from the gastro-duodenal junction were protected from the peristaltic waves during digestive phase while the nonfloating forms stayed close to the pylorus and were subjected to propelling and retropelling waves of the digestive phase.\(^10\)

**Density of tablets**
Density is the main factor affecting the gastric residence time of dosage form. A buoyant dosage form having a density less than that of the gastric fluids floats, since it is away from the pyloric sphincter, the dosage unit is retained in the stomach for a prolonged period. A density of less than 1.0g/ml i.e. less than that of gastric contents has been reported. However, the floating force kinetics of such dosage form has shown that the bulk density of a dosage form is not the most appropriate parameter for describing its buoyancy capabilities.\(^11\)

**Shape of tablets**
The shape of dosage form is one of the factors that affect its gastric residence time. Six shapes (ring tetrahedron, cloverleaf, string, pellet and disk) were screened _in vivo_ for their gastric retention potential. The tetrahedron (each leg 2cm long) rings (3.6 cm in diameter) exhibited nearly 100% retention at 24 hr.\(^12\)

**Viscosity grade of polymer**
Drug release and floating properties of FDDS are greatly affected by viscosity of polymers and their interaction. Low viscosity polymers (e.g., HPMC K100 LV) were found to be more
beneficial than high viscosity polymers (e.g., HPMC K4M) in improving floating properties. In addition, a decrease in the release rate was observed with an increase in polymer viscosity.\[^{13}\]

b) Idiosyncratic factors

Gender

Women have slower gastric emptying time than do men. Mean ambulatory GRT in meals (3.4±0.4 hours) is less compared with their age and race-matched female counterparts (4.6±1.2 hours), regardless of the weight, height and body surface.

Age

Low gastric emptying time is observed in elderly than do in younger subjects. Intrasubject and intersubject variations also are observed in gastric and intestinal transit time. Elderly people, especially those over 70 years have a significantly longer GRT.\[^{14}\]

Posture

i) Upright position

An upright position protects floating forms against postprandial emptying because the floating form remains above the gastric contents irrespective of its size\[^{14}\]. Floating dosage forms show prolonged and more reproducible GRTs while the conventional dosage form sink to the lower part of the distal stomach from where they are expelled through the pylorus by antral peristaltic movements.\[^{15}\]

ii) Supine position

This position offers no reliable protection against early and erratic emptying. In supine subjects large dosage forms (both conventional and floating) experience prolonged retention. The gastric retention of floating forms appear to remain buoyant anywhere between the lesser and greater curvature of the stomach. On moving distally, these units may be swept away by the peristaltic movements that propel the gastric contents towards the pylorus, leading to significant reduction in GRT compared with upright subjects.\[^{16}\]

Concomitant intake of drugs

Drugs such as prokinetic agents (e.g., metoclopramide and cisapride), anti Cholinergics (e.g., atropine or propantheline), opiates (e.g., codeine) may affect the performance of FDDS. The coadministration of GI-motility decreasing drugs can increase gastric emptying time.\[^{16}\]
Feeding regimen

Gastric residence time increases in the presence of food, leading to increased drug dissolution of the dosage form at the most favorable site of absorption. A GRT of 4-10 h has been reported after a meal of fats and proteins.[17]

POTENTIAL DRUG CANDIDATES FOR GASTRORETENTION

Delivery of the Drugs in continuous and controlled manner have a lower level of side effects and provide their effects without the need for repeated dosing or with a low dosage frequency. Sustained release in the stomach is also useful for therapeutic agents that the stomach does not readily absorb, since sustained release prolongs the contact time of the agent in the stomach or in the upper part of the small intestine, from where absorption occurs and contact time is limited. Appropriate candidates for controlled release gastroretentive dosage forms are molecules that have poor colonic absorption but are characterized by better absorption properties at the upper parts of the GIT.[18,19]

1. Narrow absorption window in GI tract, e.g., riboflavin and levodopa
2. Basically absorbed from stomach and upper part of GIT, e.g., chlordiazepoxide.
3. Drugs that disturb normal colonic bacteria, e.g., amoxicillin trihydrate.
4. Locally active in the stomach, e.g., antacids and misoprostol.
5. Drugs that degrade in the colon, e.g., ranitidine HCl and metronidazole.

APPROACHES TO GASTRORETENTION

Several techniques are reported in the literature to increase the gastric retention of drugs16-19.

1) Highdensity systems

These systems, which have a density of ~3g/cm3, are retained in the rugae of stomach and capable of withstanding its peristaltic movements18, 20. The only major drawback with these systems is that it is technically difficult to manufacture them with a large amount of drug (>50%) and achieve required density of 2.4-2.8g/cm3. Diluents such as barium sulphate (density= 4.9), zinc oxide, titanium oxide and iron powder must be used to manufacture such high-density formulation.[20]

2) Swelling and expanding systems

These systems are also called as “Plug type system”, since they exhibit tendency to remain logged in the pyloric sphincters. These polymeric matrices remain in the gastric cavity for
several hours even in fed state. By selection of polymer with the proper molecular weight and swelling properties controlled and sustained drug release can be achieved. Upon coming in contact with gastric fluid, the polymer imbibes water and swells. The extensive swelling of these polymers is a result of the presence of physical-chemical cross links in the hydrophilic polymer network. These cross link prevents the dissolution of polymer and thus maintain the physical integrity of the dosage form. A high degree of cross linking retards the swelling ability of the system and maintains its physical integrity for prolonged period. On the other hand, a low degree of cross linking results in extensive swelling followed by the rapid dissolution of polymer.[21,22]

3) Incorporating delaying excipients
Another delayed gastric emptying approach of interest include feeding of digestible polymers or fatty acid salts that charges the motility pattern, of the stomach to a fed stage thereby decreasing the gastric emptying rate and permitting considerable prolongation of the drug release. Prolongation of GRT of drug delivery system consists of incorporating delaying excipients like trietanolamine myristate in a delivery system.[23]

4) Modified systems
Systems with non disintegrating geometric shape molded from silastic elastomers or extruded from polyethylene blends, which extend the GRT depending on size, shape and flexural modules of drug delivery device.[24]

5) Mucoadhesive & bioadhesive systems
Bioadhesive drug delivery systems are used to localize a delivery device within the lumen to enhance the drug absorption in a site specific manner. This approach involves the use of bioadhesive polymers, which can adhere to the epithelial surface in the stomach. Some of the most promising excipients that have been used commonly in these systems include polycarbophil, carbopol, lectins, chitosan, CMC and gliadin, etc.[25,26]

6) Floating systems
Floating drug delivery systems (FDDS) have a bulk density less than gastric fluids and so remain buoyant in the stomach without affecting the gastric emptying rate for a prolonged period of time. While the system is floating on the gastric contents, the drug is released slowly at the desired rate from the system. After release of drug, the residual system is
emptied from the stomach.\cite{27} Floatation of a drug delivery system in the stomach can be achieved by incorporating floating chamber filled with vacuum, air, or inert gas.

CLASSIFICATION OF FDDS BASED ON MECHANISM OF BUOYANCY

A) Single unit
Single unit dosage forms are easiest to develop but suffers from the risk of losing their effects too early due to their all-or-none emptying from the stomach and thus they may cause high variability in bioavailability and local irritation due to large amount of drug delivered at a particular site of the gastrointestinal tract.\cite{28}

Noneffervescent systems
One or more gel forming, highly swellable, cellulosic hydrocolloids (e.g. hydroxyl ethyl cellulose, hydroxyl propyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose [HPMC] and sodium carboxy methyl cellulose), polysaccharides, or matrix forming polymers(e.g., polycarbophil, polyacrylates and polystyrene) are incorporated in high level (20-75% w/w) to tablets or capsules.\cite{29, 30} For the preparation of these types of systems, the drug and the gel forming hydrocolloid are mixed thoroughly. After oral administration this dosage form swells in contact with gastric fluids and attains a bulk density of < 1. The air entrapped within the swollen matrix imparts buoyancy to the dosage form. The so formed swollen gel-like structure acts as a reservoir and allows sustained release of drug through the gelatinous mass.

Effervescent systems or gas generating systems
These are matrix types of systems prepared with the help of swellable polymers such as methylcellulose and chitosan and various effervescent compounds, e.g. sodium bicarbonate, tartaric acid and citric acid. They are formulated in such a way that when in contact with the acidic gastric contents, CO2 is liberated and gets entrapped in swollen hydrocolloids, which provides buoyancy to the dosage forms. The optimal stoichiometric ratio of citric acid and sodium bicarbonate for gas generation is reported to be 0.76:1.

B) Multiple unit
Single unit formulations are associated with problems such as sticking together or being obstructed in gastrointestinal tract, which may have a potential danger of producing irritation. Multiple unit systems avoid the ‘all-or-none’ gastric emptying nature of singleunit systems. It reduces the intersubject variability in absorption and the probability for dose dumping is lower.\cite{31}
Noneffervescent systems

A little or no much report was found in the literature on non effervescent multiple unit systems, as compared to the effervescent systems. However, few workers have reported the possibility of developing such system containing indomethacin, using chitosan as the polymeric excipient. A multiple unit HBS containing indomethacin as a model drug prepared by extrusion process is reported. A mixture of drug, chitosan and acetic acid is extruded through a needle and the extrudate is cut and dried. Chitosan hydrates float in the acidic media and the required drug release could be obtained by modifying the drug-polymer ratio.

Effervescent systems

A multiple unit system comprises of calcium alginate core and calcium alginate/PVA membrane, both separated by an air compartment was prepared. In presence of water, the PVA leaches out and increases the membrane permeability, maintaining the integrity of the air compartment. Increase in molecular weight and concentration of PVA, resulted in enhancement of the floating properties of the system. Freeze-drying technique is also reported for the preparation of floating calcium alginate beads. Sodium alginate solution is added drop wise into the aqueous solution of calcium chloride, causing the instant gelation of the droplet surface, due to the formation of calcium alginate. The obtained beads are freeze-dried resulting in a porous structure, which aid in floating. The authors studied the behavior of radiolabeled floating beads and compared with nonfloating beads in human volunteers using gamma scintigraphy. Prolonged gastric residence time of more than 5.5 h was observed for floating beads. The nonfloating beads had a shorter residence time with a mean onset emptying time of 1 hr.[32]

Floating microspheres

A controlled release system designed to increase its residence time in the stomach without contact with the mucosa was achieved through the preparation of floating microspheres. Techniques involved in their preparation include simple solvent evaporation and solvent diffusion and evaporation. The drug release and better floating properties mainly depend on the type of polymer, plasticizer and the solvents employed for the preparation. Polymers, such as polycarbonate, Eudragit® S and cellulose acetate, are used in the preparation of hollow microspheres and the drug release can be modified by optimizing the amount of polymer and the polymerplasticizer ratio.[33]
C) Raft forming systems

The basic mechanism involved in the raft formation includes the formation of viscous cohesive gel in contact with gastric fluids, wherein each portion of the liquid swells forming a continuous layer called a raft. The raft floats because of the buoyancy created by the formation of CO2 and act as a barrier to prevent the reflux of gastric Contents like HCl and enzymes into the esophagus. Usually, the system contains a gel forming agent and alkaline bicarbonates or carbonates responsible for the formation of to make the system less dense and float on the gastric fluids.\[^{34}\]

ADVANTAGES OF FLOATING DOSAGE FORM

(1) These systems are particularly advantageous for drugs that are specifically absorbed from stomach or the proximal part of the small intestine, e.g., riboflavin and furosemide.

(2) The fluctuations in plasma drug concentration are minimized and concentration-dependent adverse effects that are associated with peak concentrations can be prevented. This feature is of special importance for drugs with a narrow therapeutic index.

(3) The efficacy of the medicaments administered utilizing the sustained release principle of floating formulation has been found to be independent of the site of particular medicaments.

(4) Complete absorption of the drug from the floating dosage form is expected even at the alkaline pH of the intestine. The dissolution of the drug in gastric fluid occurs and then the dissolved drug is available for absorption in the small intestine after emptying of the stomach contents.

(5) Poor absorption is expected when there is vigorous intestinal movement and a shortened transit time as might occur in certain type of diarrhea. Under such circumstances it may be advantageous to keep the drug in floating condition in stomach to get a relatively better response.

(6) Drugs that have poor bioavailability because of site-specific absorption from the upper part of the gastrointestinal tract are potential candidates to be formulated as floating drug delivery systems, thereby maximizing their absorption.

LIMITATIONS OF FLOATING DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEMS

(1) A high level of fluid in the stomach is required for drug delivery to float and work efficiently.

(2) Drugs which have stability and solubility problems in GIT are not suitable candidates for these types of systems.
(3) Drugs such as nifedipine, which undergo first pass metabolism may not be desirable for the preparation of these types of systems.

(4) Drugs which are irritant to Gastric mucosa are also not desirable.

(5) The drug substances that are unstable in the acidic environment of the stomach are not suitable candidates to be incorporated in the systems.

FUTURE POTENTIAL

FDDS approach may be used for various potential active agents with narrow absorption window, e.g. antiviral, antifungal and antibiotic agents (sulphonamides, quinolones, penicillins, cephalosporins, aminoglycosides and tetracyclines) which are absorbed from very specific regions of GI tract and whose development has been halted due to the lack of appropriate pharmaceutical technologies. In addition, by continual supplying the drug to its most efficient site of absorption, the dosage form may allow for more effective oral use of peptide and protein drugs such as calcitonin, erythropoetin, vasopressin, insulin, low molecular weight heparin and LHRH. Some of the unresolved critical issues related to the rational development of FDDS include, the quantitative efficiency of floating delivery systems in the fasted and fed states and the correlation between prolonged GRT and SR/PK characteristics. However, we are as close as we have ever been to see a greater transition of gastric retention devices from developmental level to the manufacturing and commercial level.
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