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ABSTRACT
Nature has been a source of medicinal agents since times immemorial. The importance of herbs in the management of human ailments cannot be over emphasized. Different extracts from traditional medicinal plants have been tested to identify the source of the therapeutic effects. As a result some natural products have been approved as new antibacterial drugs. The objective of this research is to find out the potent antibacterial agents from the selected medicinal plants: Mangifera indica and Osyris lanceolata of Rupandehi, and Palpa districts of Nepal. The Bark and Leaves of the plants Mangifera indica and Osyris lanceolata respectively were subjected to extraction by maceration process using solvent methanol. Screening of antibacterial activity was done by disc diffusion method against the microorganisms Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli at three different concentrations (1 mg/disc, 2 mg/disc and 4 mg/disc). Both plants showed potent antibacterial activity. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) assay were determined for the two extracts against these bacteria. The concentration 4
mg/disc of *M. indica* showed maximum activity against both *E. coli* and *S. aureus* i.e., 11.16 ± 0.28 and 11.33 ± 0.29 respectively. Although both plants have exhibited best results against gram positive as well as gram negative bacteria, the crude extract of these plants further needs to be purified through antibacterial activity guided fractionation to isolate and identify the compounds responsible for the antibacterial activity. So, this study provides the platform to carry out further researches to give light upon specific compounds which are responsible for their antibacterial activities.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Medicinal plants contain physiologically active principles that over the years have been oppressed in traditional medicine for the treatment of various ailments as they contain antimicrobial properties.[1] Over the past 20 years, there has been an improved interest in the investigation of natural materials as sources of new antibacterial agents. The natural medicines are supposed to be more acceptable to the human body, when compared to modern synthetic drugs.[2] Nepal is a Himalayan country with great repository of natural products. So, there is a huge scope for the characteristic detailed study of such ethno botanical plants having significant medicinal values in Nepal. Although a number of plants with antimicrobial potential have been identified, greater number still remains unidentified. So, there is a dire need of proper evaluation of therapeutic properties of several other medicinal plants found in Nepal with a special reference to their ability to fight against various diseases.[3]

*Mangifera indica* also known as an Aam or Mango is a large evergreen tree with a heavy dome shaped crown and straight stout trunk. It occurs throughout India, other parts of temperate Asia, southern Europe and America.[4] Various parts of *Mangifera indica* have been used in indigenous system of medicine. The stem exudates (a gum resin) used in dressing of cracked feet and scabies. It is also considered as antisypillitic. The extract of various part exhibit moderate antibacterial activity against “*Micrococcus pygenes var aureus*”. The bark also contains tannins (16-20%) and may be used for tanning purpose.[5] The bark is used for many purpose such as diuretic, astringent hemostatic and antirheumatic and also used in hot local baths and hot dressings. Bark is used in a wash for blennorrhrea. The resin is useful in cutaneous disease. Various preparation of Gum resin from the bark is
used in catarrh and mixture of gum resin and lime juice useful in scabies & other cutaneous infections. A fluid extract form bark is very useful in doses of one teaspoonful every hour or two mixed with two ounces of water in case of hemorrhage from the lungs, the uterus or intestine.\[6\]

*Osyris lanceolata* also known as Nundhiki or bark bush, is an evergreen hemi parasite multi-stemmed plant with a round to irregular canopy and a grey smooth bark that belong to the family Santalaceae. It is a large, slender hardy shrub or a small tree (7-10 m tall). The family host culturally and commercially important species that have been used for herbal medicine, religion and perfumery oil industry. African sandalwood has wide ecological distribution and 300 species of plants from herbaceous, weed, grass, multi-stem shrubs and tree, a root decoction is used to treat diarrhoea in Kenya; a decoction of the bark and heartwood is used to treat sexually transmitted diseases and anaemia in Tanzania. Extracts from the plant can cure certain diseases, including the killer Hepatitis B. It was traditionally used by various Kenyan communities to preserve milk in gourds for long periods.\[7\]

The microorganisms have developed resistance to many antibiotics because of indiscriminate use of antimicrobial drugs that create a big problem in the treatment of infectious diseases. With the increase in resistance of many microorganisms to the currently used antimicrobials and the high cost of production of synthetic compounds; in addition to many side effects; there is a need to look for the alternatives. Plants have provided a good source of anti-infective agents, antibacterial activity and remain highly effective instruments in the fight against microbial infections.\[4\]

Antibacterial agents are the substances produced by various species of microorganism (bacteria, fungi, actinomycetes) that suppresses the growth of other microorganisms and may eventually destroy those. When a new class of antibiotic is introduced, it is effective in the beginning, but will eventually select for survival of the small fraction of bacterial populations that have an intrinsic or acquired resistance mechanism.\[8\]

This research aimed to evaluate the *in vitro* antibacterial activity of some selected ethnomedicinal plants from, Rupandehi, and Palpa districts of Nepal. This investigation may reveal the basis for new potent antibacterial medicines which would boost the commercial value of the medicinal plants. For this purpose two medicinal plants were selected for the screening of antibacterial activity from methanolic extract against *Staphylococcus aureus* and
Escherichia coli. It was carried out by taking the organic extracts of both the stem bark and leaf parts respectively of the plants at different concentration and their activities were recorded by estimating zones of inhibition as produced by disc-diffusion method on Mueller-Hinton agar media.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Microorganisms used in the study

Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) is an opportunistic pathogen causing disease in human beings and animals. This microbe is a major cause in wound infections and sometime leading to life-threatening diseases as osteomyelitis, endocarditis and toxic shock syndrome. The microbial cell surface as a whole, displays unique molecular compositions made of lipids, carbohydrates and proteins that may alter the mechanism by which peptide might interact with an epitopes or receptor, thereby providing unique binding sites for the peptide interaction.⁹

Escherichia coli (E. coli) is a gram-negative, facultative anaerobic and non-spore-forming bacterium. Cells are typically rod-shaped and are about 2 micrometers (µm) long and 0.5 µm in diameter, with cell volume of 0.6 – 0.7 µm³. Optimal growth of E. coli occurs at 37⁰C but some laboratory strains can multiply at temperatures of up to 49⁰C. This bacterium is commonly found in the lower intestine of warm-blooded organisms.¹⁰ Uncomplicated urinary tract infections caused by E. coli cause serious illness and death.¹¹

Antibiotics used in the study

Ampicillin: Ampicillin is a β-lactam antibiotic which belongs to semisynthetic penicillin. It inhibits bacterial growth by interfering with the transpeptidation reaction of bacterial cell wall synthesis. Resistance to ampicillin is due to inactivation of antibiotic by β-lactamase, modification of target PBPs, impaired penetration of drug to target PBPs and efflux.¹²

Ciprofloxacin: Ciprofloxacin is one of the several new quinolone antibacterial agents that show broad antibacterial activity, low toxicity and potential for use as oral therapy in urinary tract as well as skin and soft infections. It is rapidly and well absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract.¹³
Ciprofloxacin targets the bacterial type II enzymes, DNA gyrase (GyrA and GyrB) and topoisomerase IV, and acts by stabilizing an intermediate stage of the DNA replication reaction thus inhibiting cell division.[14]

Resistance to ciprofloxacin is caused by the changes in the amino acids sequences around the enzyme active site resulting in reduced drug affinity thereby allowing for the continued bacteria cell growth.

**Solvents:** The solvent used in the study was Methanol (Thermo Fisher Scientific, India Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai) and the Water used in the study was prepared in the laboratory with Distilled water plant.

**Chemicals:** The chemical used in study were Muellar Hinton agar (MHA) (HiMedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai) and Nutrient Broth (HiMedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai).

**Antibiotics:** The antibiotics used in study were Ampicillin AMP$^{10}$ (SD002-1PK) and Ciprofloxacin CIP$^{10}$ (#SD080-1PK) which was obtained from Himedia Laboratory Pvt. Ltd. Mumbai-400086, India.

**Equipments:** Equipment used in the experiments were beakers (50 ml, 100 ml), volumetric flasks (500 ml, 1000 ml), micropipette (10 μl), pipettes (10 ml), round bottom flask (1000 ml), cotton, plastic bottle, aluminum foils, detergents, butter paper, conical flasks, measuring cylinders, spatulas (stainless steel), plant cutter, paper sheets, scissors, glass rods, washing brush, funnels, filter paper (Whatman no.1), scale, map, marker, gloves, mask, mortar and pestle.

**Instruments**

Instruments used in the experiment were

- Autoclave (S.M. Scientific Instruments (P) Ltd., Delhi)
- Digital balance (ATX224, SHIMADZU Corporation, Philippines)
- Rotary evaporator (R-210/215, BUCHI Labortechok AG, Switzerland)
- Refrigerator (GL-M492YLG)
- Grinder and Distilled Water (DW) plant
- Sonicator (INDOSATI Scientific Instruments (P) Ltd., Delhi).
- Hot air oven (S.M. Scientific Instruments (P) Ltd., Delhi).
Incubator (S.M. Scientific Instruments (P) Ltd., Delhi).

**Plant materials:** The plant materials were collected from Rupandehi and Palpa district. Scientific name and parts used of collected plant materials are given in table below.

**Table 1: Selected Plant materials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. N</th>
<th>Scientific name</th>
<th>Parts used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td><em>Mangifera indica</em></td>
<td>Bark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td><em>Osyris lanceolata</em></td>
<td>Leaves</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Test organisms:** The test microorganisms used for this research were *S. aureus* (Gram positive) and *E. coli* (Gram negative) obtained from National Path Lab, Butwal, Rupandehi, Nepal

**Method**

**Identification of Plant:** The plants were identified with the help of Botanist (Bhavendra Niroula PHD in botany from Bhagalpur University, India) and also compared with the literatures.

**Collection of Plant Parts:** The plants were collected from Rupandehi and Palpa district, Lumbini Zone respectively Western Nepal. The selection of the species used in this study was mainly based on their ethnomedicinal evidences (literature) of use for condition such as diarrhoea, dysentery, skin disease, UTI etc.

**Table 2: Details of the plant collection**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. N</th>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Parts</th>
<th>Collection site</th>
<th>Collection date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Mangifera indica</em></td>
<td>Aap</td>
<td>Bark</td>
<td>Rupandehi</td>
<td>September 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Osyris lanceolata</em></td>
<td>Nundhiki</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Palpa</td>
<td>September 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Drying:** Collected plant materials were cleaned with tap water and were then rinsed with distilled water. The remaining water was wiped with the help of clean cloth. They were then air dried in shade under the newspaper at room temperature in a well-ventilated room. The drying was carried out for 15 days with proper checking at regular interval.

**Grinding:** After the plant parts were dried, they were grinded to a fine powder using a portable grinding machine. The reduced powder mass was then passed through the sieve of mesh size 40. The sieved powder was kept in air tight plastic bottle, sealed in order to prevent contamination and stored at a room temperature in a dark place until use.
Extraction procedure: For the extraction process, double maceration was carried out. During this process, the maceration of the herbs was carried out twice and the total volumes of the menstrum to be used were divided in two parts in such a way that same quantity of menstrum was used for each maceration. For first maceration, 50g of dried powder was allowed to remain in contact with the 250 ml of menstrum (i.e. methanol) with occasional shaking for 48 hours. After the time was over the liquids were strained and the marcs were pressed. The liquids were ten filtered. The second part of the menstrum i.e. remaining 250 ml were then added to the marcs and allowed to stand again for 48 hours. Again the liquids were strained and the marcs were pressed. The liquids obtained from both the maceration were combined and filtered through Whatman no. 1 filter paper.

Evaporation of extracts: The filtrates obtained from extraction process were then evaporated to dryness using rotary vacuum evaporator. The methanolic extracts were evaporated at a temperature of 30°C. The gummy concentrate was kept in glass vials and the percentage yields of the extract were calculated. Then, the gummy concentrate kept in vials was covered with aluminum foil and stored in the refrigerator at temperature of 4°C until use.

Anti-bacterial activity test

Preparation of the solutions of the extracts

Extract of both plant *M. indica* and *O. lanceolata* were weighed as 100 mg, 200 mg and 400 mg separately. Then 100 mg of one plant was dissolved in 1ml Dimethyl Sulphoxide (DMSO) by vigorous shaking and stirring which resulted 100 mg/ml of solution. Then 10 µl of that solution was piped out and poured into small sterile paper disc which resulted in 1mg/disc. Similarly 2 mg/disc and 4 mg/disc were prepared.

Preparations of inoculums: Stock cultures were maintained at 4°C on slopes of nutrient agar. Active cultures for experiments were prepared by transferring a loopful of cells from the stock cultures to test tubes of Mueller-Hinton broth (MHB) which was then incubated for 24 hours at 37°C in an incubator. Turbid solution of each bacterium was obtained and kept for further use. All these activities were carried out in horizontal laminar flow.

Antimicrobial assay: Disc diffusion method was performed for antibacterial activity test. *In vitro* antimicrobial activity was screened by using Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA). The MHA plates were prepared by pouring 15 ml of molten media into sterile petri plates. The plates were allowed to solidify for 5 min and 0.1 % inoculum suspension was swabbed uniformly
and the inoculum was allowed to dry for 5 min. The different concentrations of extracts (1, 2 and 4 mg/disc) were loaded on 5 mm sterile individual discs. The loaded discs were placed on the surface of medium and the compound was allowed to diffuse for 5 min and the plates were kept for incubation at 37°C for 24 h. Negative control was prepared using DMSO. Ampicillin (10μg/disc) and Ciprofloxacin (10µg/disc) were used as positive control against gram-positive (*S. aureus*) and gram-negative (*E. coli*) bacteria respectively. At the end of incubation, inhibition zones formed around the disc were measured with transparent ruler in millimeter. These studies were performed in triplicate.\[15\]

**Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) assay:** The MIC method was applied on extracts that proved their high efficacy against microorganisms by the turbidity method. The highest dilution of a plant extract that still retains an inhibitory effect against the growth of a microorganism is known as MIC. Selected plant extracts were subjected to a serial dilution (5 mg/ml to 0.1562 mg/ml) using sterile nutrient broth medium as a diluent. 20 μl of an individual microorganism and 20 μl of selected plant extract were loaded in test tubes and inoculated at 37⁰ C for 24 h. The highest dilution of the plant extract that retained its inhibitory effect resulting in no growth (absence of turbidity) of a microorganism is recorded as the MIC value of the extract. A control experiment was run in parallel to study the impact of the solvent alone (without plant extracts) on growth of the two test organisms.\[4\]

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

**Results**

**Extraction Yield:** The crude drugs were extracted in methanol solvent. The extract yields of the crude drugs are given in the table.

Yield value of each extract was calculated as:

\[
\text{Yield value} = \frac{\text{Extracts obtained}}{\text{Total amount of crude drug}} \times 100\%
\]

**Table 3: Extraction Yield Percentage**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Plants</th>
<th>Extract Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Mangifera indica</em> (bark)</td>
<td>5.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Osyris lanceolata</em> (leaves)</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our study the methanolic extract of bark of *Mangifera indica* shows 5.56% of yield value followed by 23.3% by methanolic extract of leaves of *Osyris lanceolata.*
Antibacterial activity test: The mean and standard deviation of zone of inhibitions (in mm) were calculated and verified by using MS-Excel.

Table 4: Zone of inhibition of methanol extract (in mm) against *S. aureus*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N</th>
<th>Extracts, Antibiotics and Control</th>
<th>Zone of inhibition in mm against <em>S. aureus</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 mg/disc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td><em>Mangifera indica</em></td>
<td>7 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td><em>Osyris lanceolata</em></td>
<td>6 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Control DMSO</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The extract of *Mangifera indica* was used in disc in concentration of 1 mg/disc, 2 mg/disc and 4 mg/disc which shows zone of inhibition against *S. aureus* in mm as 7±0.5, 10.33±0.28 and 11.33±0.29 respectively. The extract of *Osyris lanceolata* was used in disc in concentration of 1 mg/disc, 2 mg/disc and 4 mg/disc which shows zone of inhibition against *S. aureus* in mm as 6±0, 6.67±0.29 and 9.67±0.29 respectively. The Ampicillin was used as standard antibacterial agent which shows zone of inhibition 7 mm against *S. aureus*. The DMSO was used as negative control which does not show any antibacterial activity.

Table 5: Zone of inhibition of methanol extract (in mm) against *E. coli*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N</th>
<th>Extracts, Antibiotics and Control</th>
<th>Zone of inhibition in mm against <em>E. coli</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 mg/disc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td><em>Mangifera indica</em></td>
<td>6.17 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td><em>Osyris lanceolata</em></td>
<td>6.17 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Control DMSO</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2: Zones of inhibition of methanolic extracts and Ciprofloxacin against E. coli.

The extract of *Mangifera indica* was used in disc in concentration of 1 mg/disc, 2 mg/disc and 4 mg/disc which shows zone of inhibition against *E. coli* in mm as 6.17±0.28, 9±0 and 11.17±0.28 respectively. The extract of *Osyris lanceolata* was used in disc in concentration of 1 mg/disc, 2 mg/disc and 4 mg/disc which shows zone of inhibition against *E. coli* in mm as 6.17±0.28, 7.67±0.58 and 10.16±0.28 respectively. The Ciprofloxacin was used as standard antibacterial agent which shows zone of inhibition 13mm against *E. coli*. The DMSO was used as negative control which does not show any antibacterial activity.

Extract of *Mangifera indica* showed slightly greater zone of inhibition against *S. aureus* growth compared to zone of inhibition against *E. coli*. While extract of *Osyris lanceolata* showed slightly greater zone of inhibition against *E. coli* growth compared to zone of inhibition against *S. aureus*.

Table 6: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum inhibitory concentration</th>
<th>5 mg/ml</th>
<th>2.5 mg/ml</th>
<th>1.25 mg/ml</th>
<th>0.625 mg/ml</th>
<th>0.3125 mg/ml</th>
<th>0.1562 mg/ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Osyris lanceolata</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangifera indica</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(-) Represent inhibition of growth of microorganisms

(+) Represents growth of microorganisms
The Minimum Inhibitory concentration (MIC) was done which shows that 0.625 mg/ml and 1.25 mg/ml methanol extract concentration of *Osyris lanceolata* was sufficient to inhibit *E. coli* growth and *S. aureus* growth respectively. Similarly methanol extract concentrations 0.625 mg/ml and 0.325 mg/ml of *Mangifera indica* was sufficient to inhibit growth of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

Recently much attention has been directed toward plant extract and biologically active compounds isolated from popular plant species. The use of medicinal plant plays a vital role in covering a basic health needs in developing countries and these plants may offer a new sources of antibacterial agents.[16]

The present study is focused on antibacterial activity of two plants from Rupandehi and Palpa districts of Nepal. The Solvent methanol was used for extraction. It was seen that the extraction yield of *Osyris lanceolata* was higher than that of *Mangifera indica*. The extraction yield for *O. lanceolata* was 23.027% and of *M. indica* was 5.56%.

In the present investigation, the antibacterial activity of plant extracts were tested against two microorganisms *S. aureus* and *E. coli* at three different concentration (1 mg/disc, 2 mg/disc and 4 mg/disc) using disc diffusion method. All concentration showed potent antibacterial activity.

According to Doughari J H and Manzara S (2008), the antibacterial properties of crude leaf extracts of *Mangifera indica* against several bacteria was conducted among which the zone of inhibition against *S. aureus* was 0 mm, 3 mm, 5 mm, 7 mm and 9 mm and zone of inhibition against *E. coli* was 0 mm, 0 mm, 3 mm, 5 mm, and 7 mm at the concentration of 50 mg/ml, 100 mg/ml, 150 mg/ml, 200 mg/ml and 250 mg/ml respectively.[17] Whereas in our study, the zone of inhibition against *S. aureus* was 7 mm, 10.33 mm, 11.33 mm, and zone of inhibition against *E. coli* was 6 mm, 6.67 mm, 9.67 mm at the concentration of 1mg/disc, 2mg/disc and 4mg/disc respectively. The zone of inhibition shown in Doughari JH[17] studies was due to the components such as tannins, glycosides, saponin and phenols. So, in our present study as well the antibacterial activity shown by the plants may be due to the presence of similar constituents.
In another study conducted by Wauthoz N et al.,\textsuperscript{18} an aqueous extract of \textit{M. indica} reported to contain mangiferin which have shown antibacterial activity against 7 bacteria among them \textit{S. aureus} and \textit{E. coli} was also taken. While in our study also the antibacterial properties of bark extract of \textit{M. indica} suspected to contain mangiferin which showed zone of inhibition against \textit{S. aureus} and \textit{E. coli}.

According to Yeboah EMO et al., (2013)\textsuperscript{19} the microbial properties shown from the root bark extract of \textit{Osyris lanceolata} shown antimicrobial potential due to chemicals Dihydro-\(\beta\)-agarofuran. Similarly, in our study also antibacterial property of methanolic extract of leaves of \textit{O. lanceolata} may be due to similar chemical compound. The polar organic compound may be present in the leaf extract of \textit{Osyris lanceolata} which may have shown antibacterial agents \textit{S. aureus} and \textit{E. coli}.

In this present study the methanolic extract of \textit{M. indica} in concentration of 1 mg/disc, 2 mg/disc and 4 mg/disc showed slightly higher antibacterial activity against \textit{S. aureus} with the zone of inhibition (mm) of 7±0.5, 10.33±0.28 and 11.33±0.29 respectively in compare to its zone of inhibition against \textit{E. coli} i.e. 6.17±0.28, 9±0 and 11.17±0.28 respectively.

While the extract of \textit{O. lanceolata} in the same concentration as above showed slightly higher antibacterial activity against \textit{E. coli} with the zone of inhibition (mm) of 6.17±0.28, 7.67±0.58 and 10.16±0.28 respectively compared to \textit{S. aureus} with zone of inhibition of 6±0, 6.67±0.29 and 9.67±0.29 respectively. Both plants, with the increase in concentration of the plant extract showed gradual increase in the zone of inhibition.

Our study also showed the microorganism used i.e., \textit{S. aureus} was somewhat resistance to Ampicillin which showed only 7 mm of zone of inhibition which was lower than zone of inhibition of both plants extracts whereas the zone of inhibition of both plants at greatest concentration of 4mg/disc against \textit{E. coli} was comparable to zone of inhibition of Ciprofloxacin i.e. 13mm.

The Minimum Inhibitory concentration (MIC) was done which shows that 0.625 mg/ml and 1.25 mg/ml extract concentration of \textit{Osyris lanceolata} was sufficient to inhibit \textit{E. coli} growth and \textit{S. aureus} growth respectively. Similarly extract concentration 0.625 mg/ml and 0.325 mg/ml of \textit{Mangifera indica} was sufficient to inhibit growth of \textit{E. coli} and \textit{S. aureus} respectively.
Our test result showed that gram positive bacteria i.e., *S. aureus* was more susceptible to selected plants extracts than gram negative bacteria i.e., *E. coli*. Various researchers have already shown that gram positive bacteria are more susceptible towards plants extracts as compared to gram negative. These differences may be attributed to the fact that the cell wall in gram positive bacteria is of single layer whereas cell wall gram negative is multilayered structure.[20]

**CONCLUSION**

Our results allow us to conclude that the crude extracts of both plants *M. indica* and *O. lanceolata* exhibited some extent of antibacterial activity. The results of the present study are encouraging as the tested extracts revealed antibacterial potential.

As both plants have exhibited antibacterial activity and shown the best results against gram positive as well as gram negative bacteria. It is therefore important to put out that the crude extracts of these plants need to be further purified through antibacterial activity guided fractionation to isolate and identify the compounds responsible for the antibacterial activity.

The results provide justification for the use of these plants in folk medicine to treat various infectious diseases.
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